

Zhytomyr Ivan Franko State University Journal. Philosophical Sciences. Vol. 2 (92)

Вісник Житомирського державного університету імені Івана Франка. Філософські науки. Вип. 2 (92) ISSN: 2663-7650

УДК 304.394+141 DOI 10.35433/PhilosophicalSciences.2(92).2022.147-157

# CRISIS OF MODERN ANTHROPOLOGICAL AXIOMATICS: DISCURSIVE LAYERS

### T. A. Shadiuk\*

The significant changes are taking place in modern anthropology towards the understanding of both subjective and objective aspects of an individual's life. Owing to the application of an interdisciplinary approach, which synthesizes the achievements of the socio-philosophical direction of such fields as anthropology, psychology, sociology, it is determined that investigating the transformations of the human essence, in particular, in individual, personal and cognitive dimensions is necessary in this work. The purpose of this article is to single out discursive sections that illustrate the destruction of the axiomatic approach to the definition of human identity within the ontological concept, as well as to analyze the explication directions of the modern anthropological situation as a crisis.

This work draws attention to such anthropological axioms as personality, individuality and related identity, authenticity, selfhood, inner dimension or inner core of personality. It is established that their content is being eroded and devalued. The article uses Niklas Luhmann's system analysis method, which allows considering identity as a communication phenomenon of the modern information society. With the help of empirical and phenomenological methods, it was established that theperson modern identity is a profile identity based on virtuality as one of the signs of human presence in reality. The article proves that modern identity retains its ontological basis, but no longer needs authenticity as selfhood, true self. In contrast to modern identity, which needed support in the inner "Me" of personality, profile identity is interpreted as superficial, commodified and related to maintaining one's profiles in social networks.

It is argued that reality appears in two main modes: as directly experienced and as experienced indirectly, that is, constructed reality. A hermeneutic reading of the works of modern researchers made it possible to include profile identity among the phenomena of constructed reality. It has been established that the profile identity is collective, consists of many components of self-presented reality, intended for second-order observation, and is also characterized by a high level of perfectionism. It was determined that second-order observation in social communication is the observation of reactions and activity that arise as a result of observing someone's representations, communications, emotional reactions and other activity in the network, and can manifest in such forms as the creation of rating lists, target audience segments, query clustering, parsing, etc. It is emphasized that despite the decline in the value of identity in society, individuals are expected to be original and strive for authenticity. In this regard, it is assumed that the gap between the requirements for the subject and the reality in which this subject exists determines the existential challenges of modernity. One of the possible ways out of this paradoxical situation is simulation, as

ORCID: 0000-0002-2376-0112

<sup>\*</sup>PhD in Philosophy, Docent, Associate Professor (National University of Water and Environmental Engineering, Rivne city, Ukraine) t.a.shadiuk@nuwm.edu.ua

pretending to be original and authentic, to meet the set requirements. The next step in the paper is to assume the existence of simulacra of identities based on authenticities.

It is shown that the situation of a person in the modern world is generally depicted with the help of a crisis lexicon, in which there are feelings of fatigue, overload, loss, fears, danger, insecurity, which prevail over optimistic faith and progress as a historically determined necessary phenomenon. In addition, the fact that modern information technologies only deepen the existential crisis is noted, as their ultimate purpose and consequences of application are of concern. It is about the onslaught of information, which is no longer possible to resist, just as it is impossible to distinguish facts from fakes, true information from manipulation and propaganda.

**Key words:** personality, authenticity, selfhood, profilicity, profile identity, profilization, surrogate, simulation, simulacrum, autopoiesis.

# КРИЗА СУЧАСНОЇ АНТРОПОЛОГІЧНОЇ АКСІОМАТИКИ: ДИСКУРСИВНІ ЗРІЗИ

### Т. А. Шадюк

В сучасній антропології відбуваються суттеві зміни в осмисленні як суб'єктивного, так і об'єктивного аспектів життя індивіда. Завдяки використанню міждисциплінарного підходу, що синтезує досягнення соціально-філософського спрямування таких галузей як антропологія, психологія, соціологія, у цій роботі визначається за необхідне дослідити трансформації людської сутності, зокрема, в індивідуальному, особистісному та когнітивному вимірах. Метою статті є виокремлення дискурсивних зрізів, які ілюструють руйнування аксіоматичного підходу до визначення людської ідентичності в межах онтологічної концепції, а також аналіз напрямків експлікації сучасної антропологічної ситуації як кризової.

У цій роботі привертається увага до таких антропологічних аксіом як особистість, індивідуальність та пов'язані з ними ідентичність, автентичність, самість, внутрішній вимір чи внутрішнє ядро особистості. Встановлено, що їх зміст розмивається й девальвуються. У статті застосовано метод системного аналізу Нікласа Лумана, що дозволяє розглядати ідентичність як комунікаційний феномен сучасного інформаційного суспільства. За допомогою емпіричного та феноменологічного методів встановлено, що сучасна ідентичність особи — це профільна ідентичність, що заснована на віртуальності як одній із ознак людської присутності в реальності. У статті доводиться, що сучасна ідентичність зберігає своє онтологічне підґрунтя, однак більше не потребує автентичності як самість. На відміну від модерної ідентичності, що потребувала опори у внутрішньому «Я» особи, профільна ідентичність тлумачиться як поверхнева, комодифікована та пов'язана з обслуговуванням своїх профілів у соціальних мережах.

Стверджується, що реальність постає у двох основних модусах: як безпосередньо пережита опосередковано, пережита і як тобто сконструйована реальність. Герменевтичне прочитання праць сучасних дослідників дало можливість зарахувати профільну ідентичність до феноменів сконструйованої реальності. Встановлено, що профільна ідентичність є збірною, складається з багатьох компонентів самопрезентованої дійсності, призначеної для спостереження другого порядку, а також їй властивий високий рівень перфекціонізму. Визначено, що спостереження другого порядку в соціальній комунікації - це спостереження за реакціями та активністю, які виникають як результат споглядання чиїхось репрезентацій, комунікацій, емотивних реакцій та іншої активності в мережі, та можуть оприявнюватися в таких формах як створення рейтингових списків, сегментів цільової аудиторії, кластеризація запитів, парсинг тощо. Підкреслюється, що попри зниження цінності ідентичності в суспільстві від індивідів очікується бути оригінальними та прагнути до автентичності. У зв'язку з цим припускається, що розрив між вимогами до суб'єкта і реальністю, в якій існує цей суб'єкт, зумовлює екзистенційні виклики сучасності. Одним із можливих виходів із цієї парадоксальної ситуації є симуляція, як прикидання бути оригінальним і автентичним, відповідати поставленим вимогам. Наступним кроком у статті є припущення існування симулякрів ідентичностей, заснованих на автентичностях.

Показано, що ситуація людини в сучасному світі загалом змальовується за допомогою кризового лексикону, в якому присутні самовідчуття втоми, перевантаження, втрати, страхів, небезпеки, незахищеності, що переважають над оптимістичною вірою й прогресом як історично зумовленим і необхідним явищем. На додаток, відмічається той факт, що сучасні інформаційні технології лише поглиблюють екзистенційну кризу, оскільки викликає занепокоєння їх кінцева мета та наслідки застосування. Йдеться про натиск інформації, протистояти якому вже неможливо, як і неможливо розрізнити факти від фейків, правдиву інформацію від маніпуляції та пропаганди.

**Ключові слова:** особистість, автентичність, самість, профільність, профільна ідентичність, профілізація, сурогат, симуляція, симулякр, аутопоезис.

Introduction of the issue. evolutionary progress of a person is determined mainly by his own activity. digitization Due the and development of virtual reality, which already replaces physical reality in many aspects, humanity is changing in an essential and existential sense, perhaps in some sense even directing its own evolution. The biological evolution of mankind is associated with names that record its anatomical, cultural and social improvements: Homo erectus, Homo habilis, Homo ergaster, Homo sapiens. The self-names of a person of the postindustrial and information societies characterize the awareness of achievements and activities the in directions of creation, but also indirectly indicate certain shifts in reality that determine the directions of deeper and latent changes in a person: Homo Homo Politicus, Economicus, Homo Ludens, Homo Deus, Homo Cogitans, Homo Technicus, Homo Computus, Digitalis, Homo Communicus, Homo (Emoticons), Homo **Emojis** Consumericus and at last Post-humans. The phenomena of digitization of the individual and social spheres of life acquire signs of autopoiesis, the ability to develop further independently, which gives rise to a critical discourse in anthropology, breaks its axiomatic truths and complicates predictions about the future of humanity.

Noticeable changes occur in the understanding of personality. The concept of personality is being rethought, as new vectors appear in it, taking into account the virtual life of a modern person. From a cognitive point of view,

certain changes occur in the perception and sense of self of an individual. These are changes related to self-awareness, he or her understanding and sense of identity. Significant changes anthropological axiomatics have occurred regarding the subject of the self: what is considered the self, what is the content and fullness of the self, what is the value of the self, what are its characteristics, what transformations have taken place in the understanding of the self since the ancient-Christian era. The rethinking of identity has reached its critical limit to the point of destroying its ontological foundations. The essence of these changes is the content of the existential crisis that we are witnessing now, inhabiting the XXI century. An existential crisis is first and foremost a loss of personality or changes in personal self-awareness associated worldview of the era. External factors, such as a pandemic and war, can only reinforce this crisis in the near future.

On the other hand, there is also a crisis of objectivity, which manifests itself as a loss of objective reality and a imbalance between reality as actuality and requirements for the subject. Man is increasingly dealing with constructed This is a reality that experienced indirectly. A person observes and perceives it as someone observes and perceives it. On the other hand, a person is expected to be unique (individuality), original in his actions and judgments, to achieve success recognition, to strive for improvement. A number of disproportionate things in this context give rise to a feeling of fatigue and exhaustion in a person, doubts

about progress as a progressive movement forward, programmed by history.

The aim of the article is to analyze directions of explication of the anthropological situation, in particular, personal and cognitive dimensions, as well as to single out those discursive layers that illustrate the destruction of the axiomatic approach to the definition of human identity within the ontological concept. In the context of this goal, the tasks of revealing the of the crisis of subjectivity, as well as establishing the actual signs of the crisis of objectivity, which relate to the correlation between the perception of the world and the real position of a person in the world, are determined.

Analytical, empirical, phenomenological, hermeneutic, comparative research methods are used in the work, as well as Niklas Luhmann's system analysis method, which allows considering identity as a communication phenomenon of the modern information society. The need to study the essential characteristics of modernity dictates turning to the dromological concept of Paul Virilio, within which the selfperception of a modern person is explained. To achieve the goal, an interdisciplinary approach is used, which allows to comprehensively investigate the cognitive-psychological, existential, and socio-cultural features of the anthropological crisis of our time. Postmodern principles of philosophizing concepts construction, of surrogate, simulation, simulacrum, and autopoiesis are also important an methodological tool.

#### Discussion and Results.

Ontological modality of identity. Modern realities of human life: the virtual expansion of the social and communicative space and the increase in the scale of self-representation in the digital environment call for their obligatory analysis. They are no longer subject to critical refutation, as they have too much influence on each of us

and a far-sighted perspective of further transformations of the human essence, in particular, in individual, personal and cognitive dimensions. In this regard, attention is paid to researches aimed at explication of new forms of identity and the reliability of their ontological foundations is discussed.

Based on modern philosophy, Francis confirm Fukuyama tries to traditional meanings of identity, which is one of the expressions of the freedom of human subjectivity. In his understanding. identity is correlated with the internal dimension, with the internal principle, the "Me" of a person, which is endowed with a higher moral value than society. "The modern concept of identity gives the greatest value on authenticity, confirmation of the significance of that inner essence that is not allowed to express itself" [16:36], so identity is characterized in terms of a а confrontation between external and the internal, which causes feelings of alienation and anxiety. The inner essence here continues to be the desired ontological core, which, however, shakes under the action of, postmodernist terms, all-consuming chaos.

In the work of Hans-Georg Möller and Paul J. D'Ambrosio ("You and Your Profile: Identity after Authenticity"), a concept of personality is proposed that is still based on identity, but already detached from authenticity[7]. Followingthe authors, the era authenticity (according to Charles Taylor's understanding of authenticity) is coming to an end. Throughout the modern era and up to the end of the 20th century the understanding of man as a human agent dominated, and his identity was based on "a sense of inner dimension, freedom, individuality and inclusion in nature" [13: 8], on selfhood, true self. Subjectively, a person was preoccupied with the idea that he should ontologically build certain uniqueness, authenticity, authenticity of his or her Self, which has lost its relevance for now. Authenticity is losing its value today: it is

not a goal or an ideal, even the concept itself is becoming an anachronism, - say the authors of the book, - and if authenticity appears somewhere chance (like authentic clothes or dishes in a photo on social networks), then still "authenticity is put in the service of profilicity" [7: 10]. This is exactly what Moeller and Hans-Georg Paul D'Ambrosio talk about, saying modern man has entered the period of profilicity.

The term "profilicity" comes from the word "profile" in social networks. The concepts of identity and selfhood are no longer interconnected, because identity no longer correlates with the inner depth of the individual, and selfhood is no longer something that is based on ideas. values, and moral norms. Identity has become superficial, commodified and maintaining associated with profiles in social networks. Thus, profile identities, profile selves appear, which are formed through self-presentation, manifestation of oneself, one's faces, one's activity regardless of real time. Profile identity exists only for the sake of attracting attention to it, sticking virtual icons, likes, emojis, etc., it exists to increase the number of views and the number of followers.

In comparison, modern identity could only come from authenticity, which was understood as a person's true "Me", hidden behind social roles: woman/man, mother/father, daughter/son, etc. and the interactions between them. Authentic individuals thereby mutually affirmed their identity, - believe Hans-Georg Moeller and Paul J. D'Ambrosio. Instead. the authenticity of the people who inhabit the virtual world disappears, because the real interactions of real life in it become less and less important [7: 15]. Therefore, identity is no longer based on authenticity, on the true self, it completely lost its idealization, objectified itself and has become a profile invariant. Profilization is likely to only increase as identity becomes technological, identity so can controlled.

So, profilicity is one of the modern modes of identity of a person of the digital age. Profile identity is collective, consists of many components of selfpresented reality, intended for secondorder observation [7: 16]. The human "Me" is projected to a mass audience, similar to the showing of a film. Therefore, the permanent task of an individual (and, by the way, of any social institution) is to curate profiles, take care of profiles. Profilicity is part of a new social theory, philosophy and culture in general, so it makes no sense to ignore this fact, it seems more appropriate to investigate how the profilization of an individual transforms axiological reality: it changes socio-cultural, ethical and aesthetic values. This state of affairs complicates the existence of a person and requires a lot of time and effort from him or her to maintain the life of his or her profiles, quasi-identities, which can be as many as you like. In this case, identity as self-identity is ontologically indeterminate and suggests that this indeterminacy is the ultimate goal of communication. If something can be said affirmatively as a sufficient basis, as an ontological constant, it is uncertainty. In this perspective, the freedom of human subjectivity, with which identity has been associated until now, turns out to be a fiction. After all, in the web of intellectual and computer networks, a person is becoming more and more dependent on social interactions, public opinion. It also creates a certain tension in the existence of a person who is forced to maintain self in the network, serving his or her profile identities. Such work often turns out to be exhausting, excessive mental strain for a person who spends hours on social networks, on the Internet, keeping the attention followers, updating content, updating profiles.

An important detail is that the profile identity is characterized by a high level of perfectionism. This is evidenced by students who hide behind their avatars in online classes during the period of forced distance learning. The fact is that

the online video meeting service has limited or no options for formatting your appearance, and therefore, presentation. Observing himself in the window, video meeting the automatically associates himself with a picture in own social networks, unless it moving picture. Noticing discrepancy, the student experiences cognitive dissonance, which he or she is unable to solve. After turning on the video, the student risks that others may not like his appearance: face, hairstyle, clothes, or, for example, it may turn out that the wardrobe in the background was not closed by chance. For a person who is used to thinking according to the standards of the social majority, mass consciousness, it is better not to take risks and remain captive to the illusion of his or her perfection.

With regard to, the German sociologist Niklas Luhmann proposed an interesting concept of modern world society, in particular, its social system such as social networks ("Globalization or World Society: How to Conceive of Modern Society") [5]. According to Luhmann, as interpreted by Hans-Georg Möller, the activity of modern electronic media is an example of the functioning of "second-order observation" the mechanism, that is, the construction of social reality based on the observation of observations [8]. We do not perceive people or the topic of discussion in social networks directly, but rather as they are presented to us publicly. For example, the creation of YouTube channel ratings is based on second-order observations (the number of views, likes, dislikes, etc.). Second-order observation in social communication is the observation of reactions and activity that arise as a result observing someone's of representations, communications. emotional reactions and other activity in the network, and can manifest in such forms as the creation of rating lists, target audience segments, clustering of requests, parsing etc.

**Existential challenges.** In the existential dimension, a modern person

is also expected to be an observer of his or her own observations - an observer of the second order and this is the main condition for participation in social networks [8]. In the same way (individual observation), on the basis of preformatted observation of the second order, a social personality is formed and, socially accordingly, а constructed identity devoid of authenticity. In fact, "there is no essential or authentic self in our social identity, and the pursuit of authenticity is an absurd challenge" [8]. However, there is also a requirement for people to be original and strive for authenticity. Observation of the second order is evidence of the irrationality and paradox of modern society, however, it plays a paradoxically constructive role, is a prominent feature of modernity. No matter what, Niklas Luhmann ("Theory of Society") calls for a stoic acceptance of this situation[5], which causes quite deep dissatisfaction because, similar to the modern demand to "be natural", to return to the "natural state" of society (Jean-Jacques Rousseau), it is equally paradoxical to follow it, as well as to break it. That is, there is a gap between expectations from the subject, requirements for the subject and the reality in which this subject exists. The present paradoxicality finds its way out in simulation, as a pretense to be original and authentic, to meet the set requirements. In turn, this gives reason to talk about simulacra of identities based on authenticities.

Similar connotations are present in psychoanalysis. modern Α individual in an open Western society is constantly forced to choose himself or herself. Imperative requirements for selfoptimization, self-development, improvement, competition, preemption, victory, strict requirements for selfdetermination, self-choice are imposed on him or her, which leads to deep depression, dissatisfaction, neuroses, of exhaustion the self. Again, individual is overloaded with the demands of an individualistic culture aimed at achievement, success.

recognition, and self-realization. Alain French Ehrenberg. philosopher, а sociologist, psychoanalyst, calls this the disease situation of freedom. Freedom is a great blessing, because it allows you to become and be anyone, offering a choice of opportunities. But freedom also imposes on a person the burden of choosing himself or herself, which becomes more and more unbearable for modern а person, because their cultural environment puts pressure on them, demands uniqueness, demands to be someone (what the thinker calls "obligatory identity"), thereby obliging refers to certain stereotyped forms of behavior and cliches its uniqueness [1]. The disease of freedom is one of the symptoms of the depression of society, which has a crosscultural character. However, the author convinced that the salvation of is humanity lies in enduring contradiction between the individual. unique, on the one hand, and the general, on the other. And human communities in general only make sense if each of us is something bigger than our own identity.

Therefore, we can talk about an overloaded subject living in a narrowed life space as a kind of environment or sphere of action of a bodily subject, according to the terminology of Thomas Fuchs [15: 80]. Since the individual has a lot of demands both from the outside and from the inside, he or she gets tired and, as a result, develops depressions such as disruption of contacts with the social environment, neuroses, nervous breakdowns, and personality burnout. Modern man is overworked, although he or she does not work more than in the last century, in the pre-Internet era. They live in an era of chronic fatigue, suffers from depression. The list of reasons for such a feeling of well-being complement the utilitarian can perception of life, when only that which brings benefit and success is given meaning. The individual's priorities have turned around: social interests related to work, earning and achieving success

have replaced personal, private life. An individual person lost dialogue with self, the meaning of life, became lost, and the culture as a whole received a set of various types of fatigue and fears.

Discourse of worldview. Still at the end of the 20th century Paul Virilio described the loss of material space in with connection the changing configurations of space under influence of technology: space becomes virtual and acquires new modalities ("Speed and Politics", 2007) [11]. This requires a person to develop new ways of perceiving and representing reality, new forms of thinking and cognition. On the other hand, changes also occurred in the ontological structure of time. Paul Virilio also wrote a lot about time speeding up. shortening time as result а automation as a technical tool for instant response, including to nuclear threats. In fact, speed increases due to the technological progress. Because of the technological inventions (for example, in the transport or military spheres), we being at a spatial distance, are deprived of a temporal distance [11:150], so we live in conditions of a permanent emergency. Thus, a person's position in the world is supplemented by a sense of loss of security. Modern weapons and military technologies make a person aware of the lack of shelter, regardless of his physical and geographical location. The success of military operations today depends entirely on the technology of weapons, because the essential basis of war is the deregulation of time and space: "In fact, war now rests entirely on the deregulation of time and space" [11:154]. These things had a significant on human perception impact worldview. Vulnerability emerged as an essential constant of human existence in the world and was supplemented by an existential feeling of permanent fear.

Fear now governs human life, it is what surrounds us everywhere, it is our world, says Paul Virilio ("The Administration of Fear", 2012). Fear occupies and binds the mind. If earlier fear was related to some localized and

quite specific events or phenomena, for example, war, famine, epidemic, then today the world is in itself a continuous stress, panic that limits a person in his actions: stock crises, pandemics, terrorism, professional suicides. Each separatestate now has its own security ideology and convinces its citizens that it can provide them with physical security and thus is able to manage fear [12: 13-17]. Therefore, fear management is also a problem of identification, determination of the type of danger in conditions of closeness and interpenetration different realities.

At the same time, the thinker does not refuse from his long-standing beliefs about the need to regulate technological development, to subordinate technology to human and political control, because, in his opinion, the driving force of fear is the incredibly rapid spread of real-time technologies, especially ICT (information and communications technology) [12:13-17 ]. Thomas Gilland Eriksen ("The Tyranny of the Moment: Fast and Slow Time in the Information Age") almost agrees with him, warning: "Technology has unforeseen side effects, and it is always entangled in a cultural context where it is difficult to predict exactly how it will be used" [2:38]. The author characterizes a person's life in the information society as saturated with noise, that is, an excessive amount of information imposed on a person from the outside. It is no longer possible to resist this onslaught, just as it is impossible to distinguish facts from fakes, true information from information manipulation, propaganda. According to Paul Virilio ("The Administration of Fear", 2012), propaganda is commensurate with physical and mental occupation. As an example, he cites the history of media propaganda of the techno-scientific advantages of the Apple corporation in the field of ICT, whose successes have become entrenched in the mentality of people as technical progress [12:16]. There is no doubt that information technologies are an integral part of modern society and at the same time

thetool that determines the course of its further development and the role of man in it.

Another trend outlined in the writings of modern thinkers is the separation the ideas of progress stimulating factor in the development of society. In Andeas Rekwitz's and Hartmut Rosa's papers ("Late Modernism Crisis. How to Create a Social Theory?") [10] it is argued that the idea of progress is inscribed in the essence of modernity. However, the idea of progress is dving or fading before our eves. despite technological development. Progress, as it was understood by the Modernity era thinkers, is complex changes in human nature, the essence of which is а focus on development as a continuous movement forward. As a consequence of his rational nature, man sees the meaning of history in progress and reforms - this idea is consistently postulated in the works of M. Z. A. Nicolas Condorcet. Progress was an ideology and even a kind of civil religion of modernity, the meaning of life was associated with progress: "tomorrow" be must necessarily better "yesterday". That is, the thinkers did not mean the permanent improvement of certain things (for example, improving the technological qualities of vehicles (cars, trains, airplanes, etc.), means of communication (phones, gadgets) and so on, but a worldview principle based on activity transformation of the world by a rational-thinking being - man. So. modernism actively asserted the imperative of progress. This idea was the locomotive of socio-political life for a long time.

Gradually, the era of neoliberalism and the communist era replaced the meaningful understanding of personality development with some indicators, identifiers of progress, which were used to measure quantitative and qualitative changes. The crisis of the idea of progress as a requiem for the power of the human ratio is reflected in the worldview of the citizens of modern European societies, who are increasingly

convinced that progress is not endless, that the future of their children is not cloudless, etc. As of the beginning of the XXI century the facts of the total exhaustion of resources, which were the source of the energy of progress for all became obvious. previous eras, imbalance between resources and opportunities creates pressure on a person who is still expected to develop and improve. The peculiarities of the ecological, economic, personal anthropological aspects of understanding modernity signal that humanity has lost the pace of development and can no longer live according to the imperatives previous generations aimed progress.

Next to the question of finding new of energy sources for social individual life, an even more significant worldview question was brought up, undermining the narrative of progress. It is a question of loss: status, meaning of life, control or even faith in the future. In this connection, Andreas Reckwitz draws attention to loss as a socio-cultural phenomenon that, due to the certain institutionalized efforts, is invisible, but has a significant impact on society as a and on each individual particular [4]. Loss is a state in which something previously existed and has already disappeared, in which there was a positive emotional connection with the lost. The discourse of the lost is about the perception and experience of losses, certain negative emotions or affective states, and as a result - about the loss of identity.

Conclusions and research perspectives. To summerise, situation of a person in the modern world is depicted with the help of a crisis lexicon, which visualizes permanent changes and losses that prevail over possessions. Anthropological threats to the personal self lie in the characteristics of a tired, overloaded self, as well as an exhausted, devastated self. An identity crisis is simultaneously a loss of authenticity. Authenticity has lost its relevance for modern man, it has been

replaced by artificial surrogates and simulacra. Such anthropological axioms as personality, individuality and related identity, authenticity, selfhood, inner dimension or inner core of personality are too blurred in content. They are still desirable and programmatic, but their value is to some extant reduced in sociocultural reality.

Reality appears in two main modes: as directly experienced and as experienced indirectly, that is, constructed reality. These two types of reality oppose each other, and as a result the growth of the existential crisis is noted. An existential crisis is a complex of specific signs related to changes in the self-awareness of an individual, which is closely dependent on the worldview of the era.

Cognitive and essential changes in a person continue to be explained by modern thinkers in the paradigm of received virtuality, which a explanation, enriched by such forms as artificial identity, profile identity. Profilicity is a sign of a new identity, which is characterized to a large extent technology and administration. Profilicity as a form of digital identity manifests itself through interpersonal communication, well as as presentation and self-representation. In connection with, it may be interesting in the future to study the peculiarities of the socio-cultural background of the profile identities of modern institutions, from shops to government or public organizations.

It should be stated that the modern person is already a virtual being, and therefore the above outlined aspects of his essence are expressed. new Profilization, subject overloading with the Internet network, as well the disproportion resources and of requirements imposed on each individual, lead to an increase in internal pressure, fatigue and exhaustion. The requirement to be special, individual, which radiates in society, forces a person to simulations and search for surrogate forms of authenticity. In the information and communication situation, this leads

the emergence of artificially to identities, constructed simulacra identity, autopoiesis social phenomena.

Uncertainty, insecurity, danger dominate the discourse of the worldview of modern man. technology of the era does not inspire hopes for the better, and technological breakthroughs in the post-industrial information societies are more alarming than encouraging. As modern society is

positive used associate its to with expectations the narrative progress, which is largely discredited today, the crisis lexicon extends to individual human life, social order, and the future of humanity in general. Further searching for an explanation of situation in the world and supporting in an unstable and changing world are urgent tasks for modern thinkers.

### **LITERATURE**

- 1. Ehrenberg, Alain. The Weariness of the Self: Diagnosing the History of Depression in the Contemporary Age. Montreal: McGill-Queen's Press, 2010. 345 p.
- 2. Еріксен Томас Гілланд. Тиранія моменту: швидкий і повільний час в інформаційну добу. Львів: Кальварія, 2004. 100 с.
  - 3. Bauman Zygmunt. Liquid Modernity. Cambridge: Polity, 2006. 240 p.
- 4. Goethe-Institut New York. (2022). Andreas Reckwitz: Loss and Modernity. Videoresource. Access mode: URL: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DgnPufSNxEw (Last accessed: 17.09.2022).
- 5. Luhmann Niklas. Theory of Society, Volume 2 (Cultural Memory in the Present). Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2013. 472 p.
  - 6. Luhmann Niklas. Trust and Power. John Wiley & Sons, 2018. 224 p.
- 7. Moeller, Hans-Georg; D'Ambrosio, Paul J. You and Your Profile: Identity After Authenticity. Columbia University Press, 2021. 312 p.
- 8. Moeller Hans-Georg. On second-order observation and genuine pretending: Coming to terms with society / Sage journals. Volume 143, Issue 1, December 2017. Pages 28-43. https://doi.org/10.1177/0725513617740968. E-resource. Access mode: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/epub/10.1177/0725513617740968 URL: (Last accessed: 11.09.2022).
- 9. Reckwitz Andreas. (2020).The Society of Singularities. DOI: 10.1515/9783110669398-009. E-resource. Access mode: URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344087272\_The\_Society\_of\_Singularities. (Last accessed: 08.09.2022).
- 10. Reckwitz Andreas; Rosa, Hartmut. Spätmoderne in der Krise: Was leistet die Gesellschaftstheorie? Berlin: Suhrkamp Verlag, 2021. 302 s.
  - 11. Virilio Paul. Speed and Politics. New York: Semiotext(e), 2007. 174 p.
  - 12. Virilio, Paul. The Administration of Fear. New York: Semiotext(e). 2012. 96 p.
- 13. Тейлор Чарльз. Джерела себе. Пер. з англ. Андрія Васильченка. Київ: Дух і літера, 2005. 696 с.
- 14. Усанова Л. А., Супрун Г. Г. Цифрова ідентичність як відображення інформаційного суспільства / Л. А. Усанова, Г. Г. Супрун. Актуальні проблеми філософії та соціології: Науково-практичний журнал / Голов. ред. С. Г. Секундант, відпов. ред. Д. В. Яковлев; Міністерство освіти і науки України; Національний університет "Одеська юридична академія". Одеса, 2021. Вип. 30. С. 67-70.Е-Access mode: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/epub/10.1177/0725513617740968 (Last accessed:
- 15. Фукс Томас. Інтерактивне феноменальне поле та життєвий простір: нарис
- екологічної концепції психотерапії. Пер. Є. Буцикіна. Філософська думка, 2020. № 4, 76—90.

16. Фукуяма Френсіс. Ідентичність. Потреба в гідності й політика скривдженості. Перекладач Тетяна Сахно. Київ: Наш формат, 2020.192 с.

### REFERENCES (TRANSLATED & TRANSLITERATED)

- 1. Ehrenberg, Alain. (2010). The Weariness of the Self: Diagnosingthe History of Depression in the Contemporary Age. Montreal: McGill-Queen's Press.
- 2. Eriksen, Tomas Gilland. (2004). Tyraniia momentu: shvydkyi i povilnyi chas v informatsiinu dobu [The tyranny of the moment: fast and slow time in the information age]. Lviv: Kalvariia (in Ukrainian).
  - 3. Bauman, Zygmunt. (2006). Liquid Modernity. Cambridge: Polity.
- 4. Goethe-Institut New York. (2022). Andreas Reckwitz: Loss and Modernity. Videoresource. Access mode: URL: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DgnPufSNxEw (Last accessed: 17.09.2022).
- 5. Luhmann, Niklas. (2013). Theory of Society, Volume 2 (Cultural Memory in the Present). Stanford: Stanford University Press.
  - 6. Luhmann, Niklas. (2018). Trust and Power.John Wiley & Sons.
- 7. Moeller, Hans-Georg; D'Ambrosio, Paul J. (2021). You and Your Profile: Identity After Authenticity. *Columbia University Press*.
- 8. Moeller, Hans-Georg. (2017). On second-order observation and genuine pretending: Coming to terms with society / Sage journals. Volume 143, Issue 1. https://doi.org/10.1177/0725513617740968. E-resource. Access mode: URL: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/epub/10.1177/0725513617740968 (Last accessed: 11.09.2022).
- 9. Reckwitz, Andreas. (2020). The Society of Singularities. DOI: 10.1515/9783110669398-009. E-resource. Access mode: URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344087272\_The\_Society\_of\_Singularities. (Last accessed: 08.09.2022).
- 10. Reckwitz, Andreas; Rosa, Hartmut. (2021). Spätmoderne in der Krise: Was leistet die Gesellschafts theorie? Berlin: Suhrkamp Verlag.
  - 11. Virilio, Paul. (2007). Speed and Politics. New York: Semiotext(e).
  - 12. Virilio, Paul. (2012). The Administration of Fear. New York: Semiotext(e).
- 13. Teilor, Charlz. (2005). Dzherela sebe [Sources of self]. Per. z anhl. Andriia Vasylchenka. Kyiv: Dukh i litera (in Ukrainian).
- 14. Usanova, L. A., Suprun, H. H. (2021). Tsyfrova identychnist yak vidobrazhennia informatsiinoho suspilstva [Digital identity as a reflection of the information society] / L. A. Usanova, H. H. Suprun. *Aktualni problemy filosofii ta sotsiolohii: Naukovo-praktychnyi zhurnal* / Holov. red. S. H. Sekundant, vidpov. red. D. V. Yakovlev; Ministerstvo osvity i nauky Ukrainy; Natsionalnyi universytet "Odeska yurydychna akademiia". Odesa, 2021. Vyp. 30. S. 67-70.E-resource. Access mode: URL: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/epub/10.1177/0725513617740968(Last accessed: 10.09.2022) (in Ukrainian).
- 15. Fuks, Tomas. (2020). Interaktyvne fenomenalne pole ta zhyttievyi prostir: narys ekolohichnoi kontseptsii psykhoterapii. [Interactive phenomenal field and living space: an outline of the ecological concept of psychotherapy]. Pereklad Ye. Butsykina. *Filosofska dumka*, № 4 (in Ukrainian).
- 16. Fukuiama, Frensis. (2020). Identychnist. Potreba v hidnosti y polityka skryvdzhenosti [Identity. The need for dignity and the politics of resentment]. Perekladach Tetiana Sakhno. Kyiv: Nash format (in Ukrainian).

Receive: September 25, 2022 Accepted: November 14, 2022